

PAYMENT PROCEDURES

Fines, Restitution, Special Assessments

- ALL payments are to be made at the Clerk of Court at the address below
 - NO payments to the U.S. Pretrial Services & Probation Office
- Method of payment:
 - Cash
 - i. Cash payments must be made in person, not by mail, and taken directly to the Clerk's Office at the address listed below
 - Personal Check
 - i. Payable to: Clerk, U.S. District Court
 - ii. Indicate the docket number (written below) and type of payment (fine, restitution, or special assessment)
 - iii. Mail or drop off to the address listed below
 - iv. You must submit a self-addressed and stamped envelope with your payment if you wish to receive a receipt for your payment
 - v. Checks remitted by mail will be destroyed after processing
 - vi. Account information is used for electronic (immediate) funds transfer. If the transfer cannot be processed because of insufficient funds, stop payments, or closed accounts, the Clerk's Office will impose a **\$53.00 returned check fee**.
 - Money Order/Bank Check
 - i. Payable to: Clerk, U.S. District Court
 - ii. Indicate the docket number (written below) and type of payment (fine, restitution, or special assessment)
 - iii. Mail or drop off to the address listed below
 - iv. You must submit a self-addressed and stamped envelope with your payment if you wish to receive a receipt for your payment

**Clerk, U.S. District Court
Attn: Intake
801 West Superior Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44113-1830**

Your Docket Number is: _____



U.S. Department of Justice

*United States Attorney
Northern District of Ohio*

United States Court House
801 West Superior Avenue, Suite 400
Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1852

February 5, 2019

VIA U.S. MAIL

Thomas Greco
866 Seasons Pass Drive
Brunswick Hills, OH 44212

Re: United States of America vs. Thomas Greco
Northern District of Ohio Court Number: 1:09CR506

Dear Mr. Greco:

Enclosed is a Department of Justice Financial Statement. Please complete each section and provide all requested documentation. If any part of the Financial Statement is not applicable to you, then please write N/A for that part. Please sign the last page, and if you are not able to pay the entire amount in full, then please also write in the amount you propose to pay on your debt to the United States. Your proposed payment will be considered, but it may not necessarily be accepted. The completed and signed Financial Statement with all requested documentation is due within 17 days of the date of this letter. A return envelope is included for your convenience.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Paul Miller".

Paul Miller
Financial Litigation Agent
216-622-3646

Enclosure(s): financial statement, self-addressed prepaid envelope



U.S. Department of Justice

*United States Attorney
Northern District of Ohio*

United States Court House
801 West Superior Avenue, Suite 400
Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1852
Direct Dial (216) 622-3673
Facsimile (216) 522-4542
E-Mail alex.rokakis@usdoj.gov

March 14, 2017

Thomas J. Greco, Jr.
Reg. No. 32500-160
FCI Morgantown
P.O. Box 1000
Morgantown, WV 26507

Dear Mr. Greco:

I cannot respond to all of your questions in your letter of January 19, 2017, as some require legal interpretation. I cannot provide you legal advice.

Your disability pension was not garnished because of you did not disclose it. Your pension was garnished because of your Amended Judgment, which ordered you to pay \$994,734.84 to MetroHealth. I have included a copy of your judgment although you likely already have it. Please see p. 7.

Next, although your financial situation may have changed, the 25% garnishment of your disability pension is reasonable based upon the fact you are incarcerated and *your* day-to-day expenses are reduced. Upon your release from BOP, your financial situation will be reevaluated with your Probation Officer. At that time, the garnishment of your disability pension may or may not continue.

Per your request, I have included a copy of your payment history. However, this history does not include payments made by co-defendants Carroll and Patel.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Alex Rokakis", is written over a horizontal line.

Alex Rokakis
Assistant U.S. Attorney

Enclosures

77

1 offenses?

2 Is it just the name that might be in the
3 newspaper? "MetroHealth official sentenced to," whatever it
4 is, that gets out, deterrence is achieved? Or do we look a
5 little deeper into the person himself, what got him involved
6 in all of this, and take into account along with potential
7 months or years in jail, take into account what else has
8 happened and its deterrent effect, if anyone is paying
9 attention to him beyond some line of type in "The Plain
10 Dealer"?

11 It's the demolition of a life. It's the
12 demolition of a family. It is the utter destruction.

13 Every penny in his name is going to the
14 government. They are first in line, as you already heard
15 today. There will be nothing left. There will be a small
16 nest egg for his wife. It will be less than \$100,000. They
17 can't even sell their house to satisfy their forfeiture
18 obligations. There's nothing left. His bank accounts are
19 down to nothing. He sold -- they sold half the furniture in
20 the house. They've sold one of their two cars.

21 I think that the deterrence message is clear
22 because the consequences of violating federal laws such as
23 this are not simply you might have to go into lockup for a
24 period of time. There are ramifications well beyond that
25 that inure badly to not just yourself but your spouse, your

78

1 children, the wider family of which you're a part.

2 I think that the message here is that his
3 missteps, I don't care how you characterize it, that would
4 let you take some gift cards to try to help a friend, who he
5 thought was a friend at the time, the destruction, the
6 destruction that's resulted, it's unimaginable.

7 Life savings from his wife's -- they've got
8 over the years from his wife's family, all expended, all
9 gone. Their real estate, all gone. His wife, who will have
10 to be cared for by her son, she can't work. She's never
11 held a job in her life. She's an invalid.

12 There are 110 reasons why anyone who bothers
13 to pay attention to this case and who understands what he
14 was and what he is now will be completely deterred
15 regardless of any length of sentence. Years or months you
16 decide upon are not what does it. There are people in this
17 case who are going to come out whole, who will come out
18 wealthy, who will come out the other end with houses, bank
19 accounts, pensions, all they need to live out their lives
20 comfortably.

21 And one in particular will go back to a
22 company that's still up and functioning albeit in a
23 different name.

24 So I think deterrence, I'm kind of melding
25 deterrence and another factor here, but the man in this case

79

1 who will suffer the most, no matter what you do -- he
2 already has financially at least -- is Tom Greco.

3 What more do we need to do? What -- now back
4 to the parsimony clause, what is necessary now at this point
5 with your order, what is necessary to achieve the purposes
6 expressed in 3553?

7 We talked about his year after year of
8 charitable work. It goes on to this day. He's
9 been -- spends most of his time up at Our Lady of Mt. Carmel
10 with Father Richard who is in the courtroom here, helping
11 out with that parish, working around the church up there,
12 contributing over the Christmas holidays to the downtrodden
13 and doing a lot of physical work at the church. That's the
14 kind of person he is. That's the kind of person he is.

15 The family thing, I'm not going to go into
16 that further. We've talked about that at length.

17 Mr. Ricotta's going to talk or pick up on the
18 nature of the offense and the sentencing disparity issue,
19 but I wanted to address the latter just briefly.

20 I recognize that if you look at the case law
21 on that 3553 factor, in the ideal world you're
22 looking -- you're addressing disparity nationally. You
23 don't just look at your district or even your circuit. The
24 goal is to avoid disparity nationally.

25 So off the goal, I don't know how it gets done

80

1 in practice, but I know from time to time the pre-sentence
2 reports, stapled to the back is the chart of the circuits
3 and across the country for different categories of offense.

4 There are, obviously, hundreds if not
5 thousands of corruption-type cases all across this country,
6 and sentences that vary all over the map. John will talk
7 about that a little bit and he will talk about the idea of
8 other sentences in this.

9 But I alluded to and maybe just want to finish
10 up briefly for this part of our discussion about disparity
11 just within this case, just within this case. As I said,
12 indisputably financial ruin.

13 Sentencing disparity, when I say within this
14 case, I'm not just talking about Neal Patel and John
15 Carroll, I'm talking about sentencing disparity with Hareesh
16 Patel, with Raymond Patel, people who haven't even been
17 charged and I doubt ever will be. They are still in
18 business, still, if they wish to, bidding on public
19 contracts. I'm not going to mention any names, but there
20 were some extensive in chambers discussions about other
21 employees at Metro.

22 So what you have is John Carroll, poor man is
23 going to have to give up 10% of his pension, his PERS
24 pension. I don't know what other pensions he may have.

25 Neal Patel has a hefty tab but he has his

MEMORANDUM

On February 11, 2011, this Court sentenced Mr. Greco to 108 months (9 years) incarceration. Mr. Greco has agreed to \$120,000.00 dollars in a forfeiture order. On February 17, 2011 the parties are returning to Court to determine an additional restitution order. Mr. Greco's current financials are attached for the Court to review.

In light of the Court's previous orders which included jail, forfeiture and restitution, and the defendant's current financial status, counsel respectfully requests an order finding Mr. Greco indigent and order appointing CJA counsel for his appeal, and an order ordering the trial transcript at government's expense.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John J. Ricotta
Co-Counsel for Defendant

Case: 1:09-cr-00506-JRA Doc #: 130-1 Filed: 02/17/11 1 of 1. PageID #: 1713

RE: Greco, Jr. Thomas J.**Financial Condition: Ability to Pay****176. On February 17, 2010** revisions and update to the following.

Since June 22nd 2010 have paid Attorney Fee's, Empire Investigation,
Cefaratti Documents and other Related Court Expenses.

Roof replaced due to Sale of Academy Home Inspection.

My Son was married and I paid for Wedding Expenses.

Net Worth**Assets**

Checking Account	8143
Savings Account	100
IRA less 10% file fee	10686
Real Estate 50% Spouse based on Estimate Sale of Home is still on the Market	\$104,550*

Total Assets **\$123,479****Debts**

Forfeiture owed	120,000
Doctor Bills	3566
Homeowners Dues	280
Cost to prepare 2010 Taxes	350
Utilities	1321
Court Cost	1200
Additional Attorney Expenses per John Ricotta	\$21,825
Additional Court Expenses.	\$25,650
Total Debt	(\$174,192)

Net Worth **(\$50,713)****Monthly Cash Flow****Income since 1-9-09** **-0-**

Pursuant to the MVRA, an order of restitution may be enforced “by all other available and reasonable means.” 18 U.S.C. §3664(m)(1)(A)(ii). Further, the MVRA provides that “If a person obligated to provide restitution, or pay a fine, receives substantial resources from *any source*, including inheritance, settlement, or other judgment, during a period of incarceration, such person shall be required to apply the value of such resources to any restitution or fine still owed.” (Emphasis Added) 18 U.S.C. §3664(n). Defendant is currently incarcerated. The Court concludes that Defendant’s back payment from OPERS clearly is clearly a substantial resource that should be applied to his outstanding restitution obligations.

The All Writs Act, therefore, is an available alternative. *United States v. Cunningham*, 2012 WL 2086561, *8. The All Writs Act authorizes this Court to “issue all writs necessary or appropriate in aid of their respective jurisdictions and agreeable to the usages and principles of law.” 28 U.S.C. §1651(a). The use of the All Writs Act allows this Court to satisfy the requirement of §3664(n) and its July 26, 2011 restitution Order. Accordingly, OPERS is directed to pay the total \$145,812.47 representing the retroactive payments from his disability pension and all monthly payments withheld by OPERS at the time the Government filed its initial motion to modify Defendant’s payment schedule.¹

b. Current Payments

The Government further seeks to garnish 25% of Defendant’s future monthly OPERS payments.²

Defendant has not raised any specific challenge to this garnishment or provided this Court with any basis to conclude that such an order would be inappropriate. Instead,

¹ The Court includes the latter monthly payments based upon the fact that Defendant failed to fulfill his obligation to inform the Government of these payments as required in his Judgment.

² The Court interprets the Government’s request for future garnishment to begin from the date of its motion for modification, April 2, 2012.

EXHIBIT 6

2/9/11

126

1 that ultimately can be recovered.

2 So the restitution amount in this case is
3 \$900,000, less any amount that is recovered through the
4 forfeiture process. All of it payable to MetroHealth. That
5 can be payable through the Clerk of Court's.

6 As it relates to this restitution figure,
7 while incarcerated Mr. Greco will pay through the Bureau of
8 Prisons Inmate Responsibility Program 20% of his income
9 earned while incarcerated.

10 Upon release from the custody of the Bureau of
11 Prisons, any restitution balance that remains will have to
12 be satisfied with payments to commence no later than sixty
13 days following release from imprisonment to supervised
14 release, in equal monthly payments of at least a minimum of
15 10% of defendant's gross monthly income during the term of
16 supervised release and thereafter as prescribed by law.

17 Because this restitution order is due and
18 payable immediately, to the extent that there are other
19 assets available, there is nothing in this order that
20 prohibits the United States or MetroHealth from levying upon
21 the property of the defendant, to the extent such property
22 is discovered after the date of this order.

23 With respect to the supervised release, this
24 defendant will comply with all standard conditions that have
25 been adopted by the Court. The defendant will -- among